

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS'AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,604	09/19/2001	Timothy L. Hoopman	49933US032	1214
75	90 10/04/2002			
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company P.O. Box 33427			EXAMINER	
			LEYSON, J	LEYSON, JOSEPH S
St. Paul, MN 5	5133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•			1722	オ
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 11 41 11	A 11 44 3	
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/955,604	HOOPMAN ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Joseph Leyson	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate	ee MPEP
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	statutory period for reply originally set in nths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	•
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>all the rejections to cancele</u>	ed claims 133, 137 an	<u>d 144</u> .
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	i amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e attached.</u> .	idered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			*
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: 23,24,30-32,89,90,92,93,134-136,			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			المام معالقات مدد المحا
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
		Attachment	
6. Patent and Trademark Office	_ 		

Application/Control Number: 09/955,604
Art Unit: 1722

1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place this application in condition for allowance because the arguments filed on 23 September 2002 are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that each cavity having a single opening as disclosed the instant claims is not disclosed by the prior art and that Rochlis (-583) discloses a mold with a laminate construction with multiple openings including openings between layers of the laminate mold which are vent openings to allow air or gas to escape. The examiner agrees that Rochlis (-583) discloses a mold with a laminate construction with multiple openings. However, applicants do NOT preclude these openings in the instant claims. Note that the instant claims recite each mold cavity having a single opening. Clearly, each mold cavity in Rochlis(-583) is defined by a single opening. If the mold cavity had multiple openings, then multiple products produced by the multiple openings would be shown in the product. As clearly shown in Rochlis(-583) a single product is produced from each Therefore, applicant is arguing that the instant claims preclude other openings made by the laminate construction, but the instant claims do not require such limitations.

Applicant argues that Rochlis(-583) does not contain an enabling disclosure of how to make a mold or production tool

Application/Control Number: 09/955,604
Art Unit: 1722

with only a single opening and actually teaches away from the claimed invention. Again, a mold having a single opening is NOT claimed. The instant claims recite each mold cavity having a single opening, as mentioned above.

Applicant argues that Rochlis(-583) emphasizes the importance of the openings between the mating surfaces of the laminations to allow for air or gas to be evolved in the molding or hardening procedure (col. 13, lines 70-73). However, Rochlis(-583) does not disclose that such openings between the mating surfaces to allow for air or gas to be evolved are CRITICAL for the operation of the apparatus.

- 2. The amendment filed on 23 September 2002 only cancels claims, and therefore the rejection of the remaining pending claims has NOT changed.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Leyson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jan Silbaugh can be reached on (703) 308-3829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

Application/Control Number: 09/955,604

Page 4

Art Unit: 1722

are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ر 1

October 2, 2002

JAN H. SILBAUGH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 182 1722

10/03/02